

Results on entire solutions for a degenerate critical elliptic equation with anisotropic coefficients

Shaowei Chen^a and Lishan Lin^b

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University,
Beijing 100048, P. R. China

^bInstitute of Applied Mathematics, AMSS,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P.R. China

Abstract: In this paper, we study the following degenerate critical elliptic equations with anisotropic coefficients

$$-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = K(x)|x_N|^{\alpha\cdot 2^*(s)-s}|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, $\alpha > 1/2$, $0 \leq s \leq 2$ and $2^*(s) = 2(N-s)/(N-2)$. Some basic properties of the degenerate elliptic operator $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u)$ are investigated and some regularity, symmetry and uniqueness results for entire solutions of this equation are obtained. We also get some variational identities for solutions of this equation. As a consequence, we obtain some nonexistence results for solutions of this equation.

Key words: weighted Sobolev inequalities, Harnack inequality, moving sphere method, variational identities,

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J70

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we study the following degenerate critical elliptic equations with anisotropic coefficients

$$-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla v) = |x_N|^{\alpha\cdot 2^*(s)-s}|v|^{2^*(s)-2}v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.1)$$

$$-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla v) = K(x)|x_N|^{\alpha\cdot 2^*(s)-s}|v|^{2^*(s)-2}v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.2)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, $\alpha > 1/2$, $0 \leq s \leq 2$, $2^*(s) = 2(N-s)/(N-2)$ and $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The motivation for studying equations (1.1) and (1.2) comes from the following interesting characteristics these equations possessing. First, these equations relate to the weighted Sobolev inequality with anisotropic coefficients (see Theorem 2.1):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \geq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{\alpha\cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)}, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $N \geq 3$, $0 \leq s \leq 2$ and $\alpha > 1/2$. Thanks to this inequality, solution u of equation (1.1) which satisfies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla v|^2 < \infty$, turns out to be a critical point of the variational integral J :

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{\alpha\cdot 2^*(s)-s} |v|^{2^*(s)}, \quad v \in X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion space of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the the norm $\|v\| = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla v|^2)^{1/2}$ (see Definition 2.2). Second, equation (1.1) is partly conformal invariant, more precisely, if u is a solution

*E-mail adress: chensw@amss.ac.cn

of equation (1.1), then $|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}u(x/|x|^2)$ and $\mu^{(N-2+2\alpha)/2}u(\mu x + z)$ are also its solutions, where $\mu > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying that $z_N = 0$. Third, these two equations are closely connected to some equations which attracted great interest in recent years. More precisely, if u is a solution of equations (1.2), then $v(x) = x_N^\alpha u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ is a solution of the following equation (see (4.1)):

$$-\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{x_N^2}u + \frac{K(x)}{x_N^s}|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\lambda = -\alpha(\alpha - 1)$ and $\mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ is the completion space of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the norm $\|v\| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2$. Equation (1.3) relates to some Hardy-Sobolev inequality in half spaces (see [6]). Let

$$H : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0, -1)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0, -1)\}, \quad x \mapsto \left(\frac{2x'}{1 + 2x_N + |x|^2}, \frac{1 - |x|^2}{1 + 2x_N + |x|^2} \right)$$

and let $\rho(x) = (2/(1 + 2x_N + |x|^2))^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$, $x \in B_1(0)$. If v is a solution of equation (1.3), then by [6], the functions $w = (u \circ H)\rho$ and $\tilde{w}(x) = x_N^{\frac{N-2}{2}}u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ lie in Sobolev spaces $H_0^1(B_1(0))$ and $H^1(\mathbb{H})$ respectively, where $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{R}_+^N, dx^2/x_N^2)$ is the N -dimensional hyperbolic space, and they are solutions of the following two equations respectively

$$-\Delta u = \frac{4\lambda}{(1 - |x|^2)^2}u + \frac{2^s K \circ H(x)}{(1 - |x|^2)^s}|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u, \quad u \in H_0^1(B_1(0)), \quad (1.4)$$

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} u = \left(\lambda + \frac{N(N-2)}{4} \right) u + K(x)|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{H}). \quad (1.5)$$

In a recent paper [5], the authors showed that equation (1.5) can be transformed into the following equations:

(i). semilinear elliptic equation relates to Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities:

$$-\Delta u = \frac{\mu}{|y|^2}u + \frac{\tilde{K}(x)}{|y|^s}|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N'} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \quad (1.6)$$

where $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k$, μ, N', m, k depend on N, s, α , and $\tilde{K}$ depends on K ;

(ii). Grushin type equation with critical exponent:

$$-\Delta_x u - (\tau + 1)^2 |x|^{2\tau} \Delta_y u = \hat{K}(\xi)|u|^{\frac{4}{Q-2}}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N'} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \quad (1.7)$$

where $\xi = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k$, τ, N', m, k depend on N, s, α , and $\hat{K}$ depends on K . Here $Q = m + k(1 + \tau)$;

(iii). semilinear equation on Heisenberg group and the Webster scalar curvature equation

$$-\Delta_{H^{N'}} u = R(\xi)|u|^{\frac{4}{Q-2}}u \text{ in } H^{N'} \quad (1.8)$$

where $H^{N'} = \mathbb{C}^{N'} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{2N'} \times \mathbb{R}$, $Q = 2N' + 2$, $\xi = (x, y, t)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N'}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta_{H^{N'}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N'} ((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + 2y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t})^2 + (\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} - 2x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t})^2)$. Here N' depends on N, α, s and R depends on K .

A great interest has been paid to equations (1.4) – (1.8) in the past years. We refer readers to [5, 6, 2, 4, 28, 18, 20, 22, 26] for recent results on the existence (nonexistence), regularity, symmetry and compactness of positive solutions of equations (1.4) – (1.6). For recent development of equations (1.7) and (1.8), people can consult [1, 7, 9, 23, 24] and [3, 10, 17, 21] respectively. Through equation (1.5), equation (1.2) and equations (1.6) – (1.8) are closely linked. Therefore, equation (1.2) will play certain role in studying equations (1.4) – (1.8).

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we obtain some weighted Sobolev type inequalities (see Theorem 2.1) and define some function spaces related to these inequalities. These inequalities can be seen as some kind of variant of the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities (see [22]). They not only play important role in proving the regularity and symmetry properties of solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) but also have their own interest. In section 3, we investigate the properties of the degenerate elliptic operator $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u)$. We prove a strong maximum principle (see Proposition 3.2) for this operator and get some results on the isolated singularity of the positive solution of equation $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = 0$ (see Proposition 3.5). In section 4, by means of the weighted Sobolev inequalities obtained in section 1 and the Moser iteration technique, we derive some regularity results for positive solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2). More precisely, we prove a Harnack inequality (see Theorem 4.3) and some Hölder continuity results (see Theorem 4.4) for solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2). In section 4, using the moving sphere and moving plane methods, some results on the symmetry and decay of entire solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) are obtained (see Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.6 and Remark 5.2). Especially, we obtain the result that the positive solution of equation (1.1) is unique up to a Möbius transform which leaves the upper half space $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ invariant (see Theorem 5.8). In the last section, we derive some variational identities (see Corollary 6.2, Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.4) for solutions of equation (1.2). As a consequence, some non-existence results for solutions of equation (1.2) are obtained (see Remark 6.3).

Notation: In what follows, $B_\rho(x)$, $\overline{B_\rho(x)}$ and $\partial B_\rho(x)$ will respectively denote the open ball the closed ball and the sphere centered at x and having radius ρ . For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, denote $(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$ by x' . The half space $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N > 0 (< 0)\}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_-^N$). For a function u , u^+ and u^- denote the functions $\max\{u(x), 0\}$ and $\max\{-u(x), 0\}$ respectively. For a Lebesgue measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $mes A$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of A . The symbol $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol: $\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$ For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space defined as the completion space $\overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{||\cdot||}$ under the norm $||u|| = (\int_\Omega |u|^2 + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2 Some weighted Sobolev inequalities and related function spaces

In this section, we give some weighted Sobolev type inequalities which can be seen as some kind of variant of the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities (see [22]). Then we define some function spaces related to these inequalities which will be used in the subsequent sections frequently.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N \geq 3$. For any $0 \leq s \leq 2$ and $\alpha > 1/2$, there exist constants $C = C(\alpha, s) > 0$ and $C' = C'(\alpha, s) > 0$ such that for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \geq C' \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm^N} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)}; \quad (2.1)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \geq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. For $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, set $v(x) = x_N^\alpha u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Then $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} = \alpha x_N^{\alpha-1} u + x_N^\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, since $\alpha > 1/2$. Therefore, $v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2\alpha} |\nabla(v/x_N^\alpha)|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2\alpha} \cdot \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{x_N^{2\alpha}} + \alpha^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2\alpha} \cdot \frac{v^2}{x_N^{2(\alpha+1)}} - 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2\alpha} \cdot \frac{v}{x_N^{2\alpha+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla v|^2 + \alpha^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} - 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{v}{x_N} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since $\alpha > 1/2$, we get that $\frac{v^2}{x_N} \Big|_{x_N=0} = (x_N^{2\alpha-1}u) \Big|_{x_N=0} = 0$, and by the fact that the supports of u and v are compact, we get that $\frac{v^2}{x_N} \Big|_{x_N=\infty} = (x_N^{2\alpha-1}u) \Big|_{x_N=\infty} = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{v}{x_N} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} &= 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{v}{x_N} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} dx_N \right) dx' \\ &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x_N} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} (v^2) dx_N \right) dx' \\ &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(\frac{v^2}{x_N} \Big|_{x_N=0}^{x_N=+\infty} + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} dx_N \right) dx' \\ &= \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla v|^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

By Hardy inequality (see [13, Theorem 327]), we have $\int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} \right|^2 dx_N \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} dx_N$. Since $\alpha^2 - \alpha > -1/4$, we get that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} \right|^2 dx_N + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} dx_N \geq \min\{1, 1 + 4(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\} \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} \right|^2 dx_N. \quad (2.6)$$

Notice that $v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, by (2.6) and Hardy-Sobolev inequality in half space (see [6]), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla v|^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N} \right|^2 dx_N dx' + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{v^2}{x_N^2} dx_N dx' \\ &\quad + \min\{1, 1 + 4(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} |\nabla_{x'} v|^2 dx_N dx' \\ &\geq \min\{1, 1 + 4(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\geq C' \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{|v|^{2^*(s)}}{x_N^s} \right)^{2/2^*(s)} = C' \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.7) and (2.5), we get the inequalities (2.1). The inequality (2.2) follows from the inequalities (2.1) by addition. $\square$

Definition 2.2. Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary. Define the weighted function spaces $X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $X_\alpha^0(\Omega)$ by

$$X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{||\cdot||_{X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}}, \quad X_\alpha^0(\Omega) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{||\cdot||_{X_\alpha^0(\Omega)}}$$

respectively, where the norms $||\cdot||_{X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $||\cdot||_{X_\alpha^0(\Omega)}$ are defined by

$$||u||_{X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad ||u||_{X_\alpha^0(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ respectively. By definition, $X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $X_\alpha^0(\Omega)$ are Hilbert spaces with inner products $(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla v$ and $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla v$ respectively. Moreover, denote the space of the completion of $C^1(\Omega)$ under the norm $(\int_{\Omega} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |x_N|^{2\alpha-2} u^2)^{1/2}$ by $X_\alpha(\Omega)$ and denote by $X_{\alpha,loc}(\Omega)$ the space $\{u \mid \text{for any } D \subset \subset \Omega, u \in X_\alpha(D)\}$.

3 Some properties of degenerate elliptic operator $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u)$

In this section, we investigate the degenerate elliptic operator $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u)$. Throughout this section, we assume that $\alpha > 1/2$.

Proposition 3.1. (weak maximum principle) *If $u \in C^2(B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}) \cap C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{B_1(0)})$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$ and satisfies*

$$-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) \geq 0 \quad (3.1)$$

weakly in $B_1(0)$, i.e., $\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u \nabla \varphi \geq 0$ for any $0 \leq \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$, then $\min_{x \in \overline{B_1(0)}} u(x) = \min_{x \in \partial B_1(0)} u(x)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\min_{x \in \partial B_1(0)} u(x) = 0$. Let $\Omega_- = \{x \in B_1(0) \mid u(x) < 0\}$. If we can prove that $mes(\Omega_-) = 0$, then the result of this Proposition holds.

Let $u^-(x) := \max\{-u(x), 0\}$. By $\min_{x \in \partial B_1(0)} u(x) = 0$, we get that $u^-|_{\partial B_1(0)} \equiv 0$. It follows that $u^- \in X_\alpha^0(B_1(0))$. Multiplying (3.1) by u^- and integrating by parts, we get that $-\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u^-|^2 \geq 0$. It follows that $u^- \equiv 0$ in $B_1(0)$. Thus $mes(\Omega_-) = 0$. $\square$

Denote $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Proposition 3.2. (strong maximum principle) *Suppose that $u \in C^2(B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}) \cap C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{B_1(0)})$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$. If $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) \geq 0$ weakly in $B_1(0)$ and $u \not\equiv \text{constant}$ in $B_1(0)$, then $u(x) > \min_{x \in \partial B_1(0)} u(x)$, $x \in B_1(0)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\min_{x \in \partial B_1(0)} u(x) = 0$. By Proposition 3.1, we know that $u \geq 0$ in $B_1(0)$. Since $div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u)$ is uniformly elliptic in $B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}$, by the classical maximum principle, we deduce that $u > 0$ in $B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}$. Therefore, to prove this proposition, we only need to prove that $u(x) > 0$ for $x \in B_1(0) \cap \{x_N = 0\}$. Without loss of generality, we only prove $u(0) > 0$.

Let $v(x) = |x_N|^\alpha u(x)$, $x \in B_1(0)$. Straightforward calculation shows that

$$|x_N|^\alpha (\Delta v + \lambda v/x_N^2) = div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) \leq 0 \text{ in } B_1(0),$$

where $\lambda = -\alpha(\alpha - 1) > -1/4$. Let $w(x) = |x_N|^\alpha (e^{-\eta|x-a|^2} - e^{-\eta/4})$ with $a = e_N/3$. We have

$$\Delta w + \lambda w/x_N^2 = (4\eta^2|x-a|^2|x_N|^\alpha - 4\eta\alpha|x_N|^\alpha - 2N\eta|x_N|^\alpha + \frac{4}{3}\eta\alpha|x_N|^{\alpha-2}x_N)e^{-\eta|x-a|^2}.$$

It follows that when $\eta > 0$ large enough, $\Delta w + \lambda w/x_N^2 \geq 0$ in $B_{1/2}^+(a) \setminus B_{1/4}(a)$, where $B_{1/2}^+(a) = B_{1/2}(a) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Since $\partial B_{1/4}(a) \subset B_1^+(0) := B_1(0) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and $v > 0$ in $B_1^+(0)$, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that $v(x) > \epsilon w(x)$, $x \in \partial B_{1/4}(a)$. Thus when $\eta > 0$ large enough,

$$\Delta(v - \epsilon w) + \lambda(v - \epsilon w)/x_N^2 \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v - w \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

where $\Omega = B_{1/2}^+(a) \setminus B_{1/4}(a)$. Multiplying the above inequality by $(v - \epsilon w)^-$ and integrating by parts, we get that $-\int_\Omega |\nabla(v - \epsilon w)^-|^2 - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{((v - \epsilon w)^-)^2}{x_N^2} \geq 0$. Since $\lambda > -1/4$, by Hardy inequality, we deduce that $(v - \epsilon w)^- = 0$ in Ω . Hence $v \geq \epsilon w$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. It follows that $u(x) \geq \epsilon(e^{-\eta|x-a|^2} - e^{-\eta/4})$ for $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \{x_N = 0\}$. Especially, we have $u(0) \geq \epsilon(e^{-\eta/9} - e^{-\eta/4}) > 0$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that $u \in C^2(B_1(e_1) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}) \cap C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{B_1(e_1)})$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$. If $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) \geq 0$ weakly in $B_1(e_1)$, $u(0) = \min_{x \in \partial B_1(e_1)} u(x) = 0$ and $u > 0$ in $B_1(e_1)$, then*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(0) > 0.$$

Proof. Let $y = x - e_1$ and let $v(y) = u(y + e_1)$, $y \in B_1(0)$. We have $-div(|y_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla v(y)) = -div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u(x)) \geq 0$, weakly in $B_1(0)$. Let $w(y) = e^{-\eta|y|^2} - e^{-\eta}$. We have $\Delta w(y) = (-2N\eta + 4\eta^2|y|^2)e^{-\eta|y|^2}$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_N} = -2\eta y_N e^{-\eta|y|^2}$. Thus we get that

$$\begin{aligned} div(|y_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla w) &= |y_N|^{2\alpha}\Delta w + 2\alpha|y_N|^{2\alpha-2}y_N\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_N} \\ &= (-2N\eta - 4\alpha\eta + 4\eta^2|y|^2)|y_N|^{2\alpha}e^{-\eta|y|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $|y| \geq 1/2$ and $\eta > 0$ large enough, $-2N\eta - 4\alpha\eta + 4\eta^2|y|^2 \geq -2N\eta - 4\alpha\eta + \eta^2 > 0$. Thus $-div(|y_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla w) \leq 0$ in $1/2 \leq |y| \leq 1$ if $\eta > 0$ large enough. Since $u(y + e_1) > 0$ for any $y \in B_1(0)$, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, such that $u(y + e_1) - \epsilon w(y) > 0$ for $|y| = 1/2$. Furthermore, for $|y| = 1$, we have $u(y + e_1) - \epsilon w(y) \geq 0$. Thus

$$-div(|y_N|^{2\alpha}(u(y + e_1) - \epsilon w(y))) \geq 0 \text{ in } 1/2 \leq |y| \leq 1$$

and

$$u(y + e_1) - \epsilon w(y) \geq 0 \text{ on } \{|y| = 1/2\} \cup \{|y| = 1\}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, we get that $u(y + e_1) - \epsilon w(y) \geq 0$ in $1/2 \leq |y| \leq 1$. It follows that for $0 < t < 1/2$,

$$\frac{u(te_1) - u(0)}{t} = \frac{u(te_1)}{t} = \frac{u((t-1)e_1 + e_1)}{t} \geq \epsilon \frac{w((t-1)e_1)}{t} = \epsilon \frac{w(te_1 - e_1) - w(-e_1)}{t}.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0+$ in the above inequality, we get that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(0) \geq \epsilon \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_1}(-e_1) > 0$. $\square$

By straightforward calculation, we get that for any $l \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla(|x|^{-l})) = l(l+2-N-2\alpha)|x_N|^{2\alpha}|x|^{-l-2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Especially, we have

$$div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla(|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)})) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.3)$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $r > 0$, denote $B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}$ by $B_r^*(x)$.

Proposition 3.4. Suppose that $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(B_2^*(0)) \cap C^{0,\beta}(B_2^*(0))$ for some $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, $u > 0$ in $B_2^*(0)$ and $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = 0$ weakly in $B_2^*(0)$. If $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha}u(x) = 0$, then the following two results hold

(i) there exists $M > 0$ such that $u(x) \leq M$, $\forall x \in B_1^*(0)$;

(ii) $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(B_2(0))$ and $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = 0$ weakly in $B_2(0)$.

Proof. (i). Let $V_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} + M$, $x \in B_1^*(0)$ where M is a positive constant and $M > \sup_{x \in \partial B_1(0)} u(x)$. By (3.3), we know that $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla V_\epsilon) = 0$ in $B_1^*(0)$. Furthermore, $V_\epsilon(x) > u(x)$, $\forall x \in \partial B_1(0)$. By $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha}u(x) = 0$, we deduce that there exists a sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ satisfying that $\tau_n \rightarrow 0+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $V_\epsilon(x) > u(x)$, $\forall x \in \partial B_{\tau_n}(0)$. By Proposition 3.2, we get that

$$V_\epsilon(x) > u(x), \quad \forall x \in B_1(0) \setminus B_{\tau_n}(0). \quad (3.4)$$

Fixing n and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, by (3.4), we get that

$$u(x) \leq M, \quad \forall x \in B_1(0) \setminus B_{\tau_n}(0). \quad (3.5)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (3.5), we get that $u(x) \leq M$, $\forall x \in B_1^*(0)$.

(ii). Let $\zeta(x) \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$ be a cut-off function which satisfies that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, in $B_1(0)$, $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $B_{1/4}(0)$ and $\zeta \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{1/2}(0)$. Let $\eta = 1 - \zeta$ and $\eta_\epsilon(x) = \eta(x/\epsilon)$. By $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = 0$ weakly in $B_2^*(0)$, we have $\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u \nabla(\zeta\eta_\epsilon u) = 0$. It follows that

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha}\zeta\eta_\epsilon|\nabla u|^2 = - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha}u\zeta\nabla u \nabla\eta_\epsilon - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha}u\eta_\epsilon\nabla u \nabla\zeta. \quad (3.6)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u \zeta \nabla u \nabla \eta_\epsilon \\
&= \int_{B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \setminus B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u \zeta \nabla u \nabla \eta_\epsilon \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \setminus B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \frac{\partial \eta_\epsilon}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (u^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\partial B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \cup \partial B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \frac{\partial \eta_\epsilon}{\partial x_i} n_i \cdot u^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \setminus B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \frac{\partial \eta_\epsilon}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \cup \partial B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \frac{\partial \eta_\epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \cdot u^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \setminus B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} u^2 \operatorname{div} (|x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \nabla \eta_\epsilon), \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ is the outer normal vector of $\partial B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \cup \partial B_{\epsilon/4}(0)$. From result (i) of this proposition, we know that u is bounded in $B_1^*(0)$. Thus we get that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \cup \partial B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \frac{\partial \eta_\epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \cdot u^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{\epsilon/2}(0) \setminus B_{\epsilon/4}(0)} u^2 \operatorname{div} (|x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \nabla \eta_\epsilon) = 0.$$

Thus by (3.7), we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u \zeta \nabla u \nabla \eta_\epsilon = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.6), (3.8) and the fact that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u \eta_\epsilon \nabla u \nabla \zeta = \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u \nabla u \nabla \zeta$, we get that

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta |\nabla u|^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \zeta \eta_\epsilon |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u \nabla u \nabla \zeta < \infty.$$

Thus $u \in X_\alpha(B_{1/4}(0))$. It follows that $u \in X_{\alpha, \text{loc}}(B_2(0))$. For any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_2(0))$, we have

$$0 = \int_{B_2(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta_\epsilon \varphi) = \int_{B_2(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta_\epsilon \nabla u \nabla \varphi + \int_{B_2(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi \nabla u \nabla \eta_\epsilon.$$

As the proof of (3.8), we get that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_2(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi \nabla u \nabla \eta_\epsilon = 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_2(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta_\epsilon \nabla u \nabla \varphi = \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla \varphi.$$

Thus for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_2(0))$, $\int_{B_2(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla \varphi = 0$. $\square$

The following result describes the isolated singularity of positive solution of $-\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) = 0$. People can consult [29] for the similar result of Laplace operator Δ .

Proposition 3.5. *If $u \in X_{\alpha, \text{loc}}(B_2^*(0)) \cap C^{0, \beta}(B_2^*(0))$ for some $\alpha > 1/2$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $u > 0$ in $B_2^*(0)$ and $-\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) = 0$ weakly in $B_2^*(0)$, then there exists $C \geq 0$ such that $u(x) = C|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} + b(x)$, where $b(x)$ is a Hölder continuous function in $B_1(0)$.*

Proof. Choose $M > 0$ large enough such that $v(x) = |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} - M$ satisfies $v|_{\partial B_1(0)} < 0$. Let $C = \sup\{\beta \mid u - \beta v \geq 0 \text{ in } B_1^*(0)\}$. Obviously, $C \geq 0$. And by the fact that there exists $x \in B_1^*(0)$ such that $v(x) > 0$, we deduce that $C < +\infty$.

Let $w(x) = u - Cv$. For continuous function $f(x)$ defined in $B_1^*(0)$, define $\bar{f}(r) = \max_{|x|=r} f(x)$, $\underline{f}(r) = \min_{|x|=r} f(x)$. We shall prove that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \underline{w}(r)/\bar{v}(r) = 0$.

If not, there exist $\eta > 0$ and $r_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $\underline{w}(r_n) \geq \eta \bar{v}(r_n)$. Thus $(w - \eta v)|_{\partial B_{r_n}(0)} \geq 0$. Furthermore, we have $(w - \eta v)|_{\partial B_1(0)} \geq 0$. Hence by $-\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla (w - \eta v)) = 0$ and Proposition 3.1,

we get that $(w - \eta v)|_{B_1(0) \setminus B_{r_n}(0)} \geq 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $(w - \eta v)|_{B_1^*(0)} \geq 0$. It follows that $u - (C + \eta)v \geq 0$ in $B_1^*(0)$. It contradicts the definition of C . Thus $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \underline{w}(r)/\overline{v}(r) = 0$. And by the Harnack inequality (see [8, Theorem 4.3]), we get that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} w(r)/v(r) = 0$. Then by Proposition 3.4 and [8, Theorem 4.4], we get that w is a Hölder continuous function. Let $b(x) = w(x) - CM$, we have $u(x) = C|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} + b(x)$. $\square$

4 Regularity of solutions

In this section, we derive some regularity results for solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2).

Proposition 4.1. *If v is a solution of equation (1.1), then $|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}v(x/|x|^2)$ is still a solution of equation (1.1).*

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $x_N \geq 0$, let $u(x) = x_N^\alpha v(x)$. By straightforward calculation, we have $\operatorname{div}(x_N^{2\alpha} \nabla v) = x_N^\alpha \Delta u - \alpha(\alpha - 1)x_N^{\alpha-2}u$. Thus u satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta u = \frac{\lambda}{x_N^2}u + \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u}{x_N^s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad x_N \geq 0 \quad (4.1)$$

with $\lambda = -\alpha(\alpha - 1)$. Moreover, if u is a solution of equation (4.1), then u/x_N^α is a solution of equation (1.1). From [6], we know that $|x|^{-(N-2)}u(x/|x|^2)$ is still a solution of equation (4.1). Since $|x|^{-(N-2)}u(x/|x|^2) = x_N^\alpha \cdot |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}v(x/|x|^2)$, we get that $|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}v(x/|x|^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ satisfies equation (1.1). By a similar argument, we know that $|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}v(x/|x|^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_-^N$ also satisfies equation (1.1). This finishes the proof of this proposition. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. If $u \in X_\alpha(B_1(0))$ is a nonnegative weak sub-solution of equation (1.1), i.e., for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$, $\varphi \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \leq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-1} \varphi,$$

then there exists $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ such that $u \in L^\infty(B_\sigma(0))$.

Proof. For $t > 2$, $k > 0$, define $h(r) = \begin{cases} r^{t/2}, & 0 \leq r \leq k \\ \frac{t}{2}k^{\frac{t}{2}-1}r + (1 - \frac{t}{2})k^{\frac{t}{2}}, & r \geq k \end{cases}$, $\phi(r) = \int_0^r |h'(s)|^2 ds$. It is easy to verify the following two inequalities

$$|r\phi(r)| \leq \frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} |h(r)|^2, \quad (4.2)$$

$$|\phi(r) - h(r)h'(r)| \leq C_t |h(r)h'(r)|, \quad (4.3)$$

where $C_t = \frac{t-2}{2(t-1)} < 1$. Let $0 < \tau < \rho < 1$. Choose $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho(0))$ satisfying $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B_\tau(0)$, $\eta \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho(0)$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/(\rho - \tau)$. Then $\eta^2 \phi(u), \eta h(u) \in X_\alpha^0(B_1(0))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^2 \phi(u)) &= \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 (h'(u))^2 |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \phi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta \\ &= \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla(h(u))|^2 + 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \phi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $|\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 = \eta^2 |\nabla(h(u))|^2 + h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 + 2\eta h(u) \nabla(h(u)) \nabla \eta$, by (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^2 \phi(u)) &= \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta h(u) h'(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta + 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \phi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta |\phi(u) - h(u)h'(u)| \cdot |\nabla u \nabla \eta| \\
&\geq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\
&\quad - 2C_t \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u) \nabla(h(u)) \nabla \eta|. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\eta h(u) \nabla(h(u)) \nabla \eta| &= \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |(\nabla(\eta h(u)) - h(u) \nabla \eta) \nabla \eta| \cdot |h(u)| \\
&\leq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |h(u) \nabla(\eta h(u)) \nabla \eta| + \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |h(u)|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |h(u)|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2, \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.4), (4.5) and the weighted inequality (2.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla(\eta^2 \phi(u)) \\
&\geq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\
&\quad - 2C_t \left(\frac{3}{2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{t}{2(t-1)} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta h(u))|^2 - (1 + 3C_t) \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2 \\
&\geq \frac{Ct}{2(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |\eta h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} - (1 + 3C_t) \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} u^{2^*(s) - 1} \eta^2 \phi(u) \\
&\leq \frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s) - 2} |\eta h(u)|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{\eta \neq 0} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s) - 2}{2^*(s)}} \left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |\eta h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Since u is a nonnegative weak sub-solution of equation (1.1), we have

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla(\eta^2 \phi(u)) \leq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} u^{2^*(s) - 1} \eta^2 \phi(u).$$

Then by (4.6) and (4.7) we get that

$$\left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |\eta h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{t}{2C} \left(\int_{\eta \neq 0} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)-2}{2^*(s)}} \left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |\eta h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\
&\quad + \frac{2(1+3C_t)(t-1)}{Ct} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) |\nabla \eta|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Choose ρ small enough such that $\frac{t}{2C} \left(\int_{\eta \neq 0} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)-2}{2^*(s)}} < 1/2$. Notice that $\frac{2(1+3C_t)(t-1)}{t} < 8$ (since $0 < C_t < 1$ and $t > 2$) and $|\nabla \eta| < 2/(\rho - \tau)$, from (4.8) we have

$$\left(\int_{B_\tau(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \frac{64}{C(\rho - \tau)^2} \int_{B_\rho(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u). \tag{4.9}$$

Choose $t_0 > 2$ such that $t_0 - 2$ small enough and let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.9), we get

$$\left(\int_{B_\tau(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)t_0/2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \frac{64}{C(\rho - \tau)^2} \int_{B_\rho(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |u|^{t_0}. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $s_0 \in (0, 2)$ be such that $2^*(s_0) = t_0$. Then $s_0 \rightarrow 2$ as $t_0 \rightarrow 2$. It follows that $2\alpha > \alpha \cdot 2^*(s_0) - s_0$ if $t_0 - 2 > 0$ small enough. Thus by Theorem 2.1, we get that $(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\zeta u|^{t_0})^{\frac{2}{t_0}} \leq (\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s_0)-s_0} |\zeta u|^{t_0})^{\frac{2}{t_0}} \leq C \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\zeta u)|^2 < \infty$, where $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$ is a cut-off function with $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $B_\rho(0)$. Combining (4.10), we get that

$$\int_{B_\rho(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)t_0/2} < \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

For any $0 < r_2 < r_1 \leq \rho$, let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{r_1})$ be a cut-off function which satisfies that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B_{r_2}(0)$, $\eta \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r_1}(0)$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/(r_1 - r_2)$. As (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^2 \phi(u)) \\
&\geq C \left(\int_{B_{r_2}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)} - \frac{4}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} \int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By (4.2) and Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-1} \eta^2 \phi(u) \\
&\leq \frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} \int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)-2} |\eta h(u)|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)t_0/2} \right)^{\frac{2(2^*(s)-2)}{2^*(s)t_0}} \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |\eta h(u)|^{2q} \right)^{1/q},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $q = \frac{2^*(s)t_0}{(t_0-2)2^*(s)+4}$ satisfies $q < 2^*(s)/2$, since $t_0 > 2$. Furthermore, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} h^2(u) \leq \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{(2\alpha - \frac{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s}{q})q'} \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |h(u)|^{2q} \right)^{1/q} \tag{4.14}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. By the fact that $q \rightarrow 2^*(s)/2$ and $q' \rightarrow 2^*(s)/(2^*(s) - 2)$ as $t_0 \rightarrow 2$, we get that $(2\alpha - \frac{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s}{q})q' \rightarrow 2s/(2^*(s) - 2) > 0$ as $t_0 \rightarrow 2$. It follows that $\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{(2\alpha - \frac{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s}{q})q'} < \infty$ if $t_0 - 2 > 0$ small enough. Then by (4.11) – (4.14), we have

$$\left(\int_{B_{r_2}} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |h(u)|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq C' \left(\frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} + \frac{4}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} \right) \left(\int_{B_{r_1}} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |h(u)|^{2q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$|u|_{2^*(s)t/2, \alpha, s} \leq C'^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\frac{t^2}{4(t-1)} + \frac{4}{(r_1 - r_2)^2} \right)^{1/t} |u|_{qt, \alpha, s}, \quad (4.15)$$

where $|u|_{l, \alpha, s} := (\int |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^l)^{1/l}$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(2 + \epsilon)q < 2^*(s)$. Let $t_n = (2 + \epsilon)(2^*(s)/2q)^{n-1}$, $r_n = \frac{\rho}{2} + (\frac{\rho}{2})^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then by (4.15) we have

$$|u|_{2^*(s)t_n/2, \alpha, s} \leq \left\{ \prod_{i=2}^n C'^{\frac{1}{t_i}} \left(\frac{t_i^2}{4(t_i - 1)} + \frac{4}{(r_i - r_{i-1})^2} \right)^{1/t_i} \right\} \cdot |u|_{(2+\epsilon)q, \alpha, s}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $u \in L^\infty(B_\sigma)$ with $\sigma = \rho/2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. (Harnack inequality) Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. If $u \in X_\alpha(B_1(0))$ is a positive weak solution of equation (1.1), i.e., for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$,

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla \varphi = \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} u^{2^*(s) - 1} \varphi,$$

then there exist constants $C = C(N, s, \alpha) > 0$ and $\varsigma = \varsigma(N, s, \alpha) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\sup_{B_\varsigma(0)} u \leq C \inf_{B_\varsigma(0)} u.$$

Proof. By the local boundedness of u (Proposition 4.2), $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_1(0))$, $\eta^2 \bar{u}^\beta \in X_\alpha^0(B_1(0))$, where $\bar{u} = u + k$ and $k > 0$. We have

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \nabla (\eta^2 \bar{u}^\beta) = \beta \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \bar{u}^\beta \nabla u \nabla \eta. \quad (4.16)$$

Let's introduce a function w defined by $w = \begin{cases} \bar{u}^{(\beta+1)/2}, & \text{if } \beta \neq -1, \\ \log \bar{u}, & \text{if } \beta = -1. \end{cases}$ Then we have

$$\beta \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 \bar{u}^{\beta-1} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{4\beta}{(\beta+1)^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2, & \text{if } \beta \neq -1, \\ - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2, & \text{if } \beta = -1, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

$$2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \bar{u}^\beta \nabla u \nabla \eta = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\beta+1} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta w \nabla w \nabla \eta, & \text{if } \beta \neq -1, \\ 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \nabla w \nabla \eta, & \text{if } \beta = -1. \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

By (4.16) – (4.18), we obtain that if $\beta \neq -1, 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (\eta^2 \bar{u}^\beta) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{4\beta}{(\beta+1)^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{4}{\beta+1} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta w \nabla w \nabla \eta \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{4|\beta|}{(\beta+1)^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{|\beta+1|} \left(\frac{|\beta|}{2|\beta+1|} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{|\beta+1|}{2|\beta|} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{2|\beta|}{(\beta+1)^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 - \frac{2}{|\beta|} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2, \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

and if $\beta = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (\eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta) \right| &= \left| - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 + 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta \nabla w \nabla \eta \right| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 - 8 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla \eta|^2. \tag{4.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\beta \neq -1$, by $u \in L^\infty(B_1(0))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-1} \cdot \eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta &\leq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-2} \cdot \eta^2 w^2 \\
&\leq C \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \cdot \eta^2 w^2 \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

and if $\beta = -1$, by $\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s > \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 - s > -1$ and $u \in L^\infty(B_1(0))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-1} \cdot \eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta &\leq \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-2} \\
&\leq C \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} < \infty. \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.20), (4.22) and $\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (\eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta) = \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-1} \cdot \eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta$, we obtain that if $\beta = -1$, then

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 \leq 16 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla \eta|^2 + 2C \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} < \infty. \tag{4.23}$$

This means that for $\beta = -1$, $w \in X_{\alpha,loc}(B_1(0))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 |\nabla w|^2 \\
&= \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta w)|^2 - 2 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w \nabla(\eta w) \nabla \eta - \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta w)|^2 - 3 \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.19), (4.21) and $\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla (\eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta) = \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} u^{2^*(s)-1} \cdot \eta^2 \bar{w}^\beta$, we obtain that if $\beta \neq -1, 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta w)|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{C(\beta+1)^2}{|\beta|} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \eta^2 w^2 + \frac{(\beta+1)^2}{|\beta|^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

When $2\alpha \geq \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s$, by (4.24) and Theorem 2.1, we obtain that if $\beta \neq -1, 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |\eta w|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)} \\ & \leq \frac{C|\beta + 1|^2}{|\beta|} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} \eta^2 w^2 + \frac{C|\beta + 1|^2}{|\beta|^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

When $2\alpha < \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s$, we can choose $s_\alpha \in (0, 2)$ such that $2\alpha = \alpha \cdot 2^*(s_\alpha) - s_\alpha$. Then by (4.24) and Theorem 2.1, we obtain that if $\beta \neq -1, 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\eta w|^{2^*(s_\alpha)} \right)^{2/2^*(s_\alpha)} \\ & = \left(\int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s_\alpha) - s_\alpha} |\eta w|^{2^*(s_\alpha)} \right)^{2/2^*(s_\alpha)} \leq C \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(\eta w)|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{C|\beta + 1|^2}{|\beta|} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \eta^2 w^2 + \frac{C|\beta| + 1|^2}{|\beta|^2} \int_{B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2 |\nabla \eta|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Let r_1, r_2 be such that $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$. Let η be a cut-off function satisfying $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B_{r_1}(0)$, $\eta \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r_2}(0)$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/(r_2 - r_1)$. By (4.25) and (4.26), we obtain that if $2\alpha \geq \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s$,

$$\left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |w|^{2^*(s)} \right)^{2/2^*(s)} \leq \frac{C|1 + \beta|}{(r_2 - r_1)^2} \int_{B_{r_2}(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} w^2, \quad (4.27)$$

and if $2\alpha < \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s$,

$$\left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |w|^{2^*(s_\alpha)} \right)^{2/2^*(s_\alpha)} \leq \frac{C|1 + \beta|}{(r_2 - r_1)^2} \int_{B_{r_2}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} w^2, \quad (4.28)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on β and is bounded when $|\beta|$ is bounded away from zero.

Set $\gamma = 1 + \beta$ and set $\Phi(p, r) = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |\overline{u}|^p \right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } 2\alpha \geq \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s \\ \left(\int_{B_r(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\overline{u}|^p \right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } 2\alpha < \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s. \end{cases}$ By (4.27)

and (4.28), we obtain that when $2\alpha \geq \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s$,

$$\Phi\left(\frac{2^*(s)}{2}\gamma, r_1\right) \leq \left(\frac{C(1 + |\gamma|)}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}\right)^{1/|\gamma|} \Phi(\gamma, r_2), \text{ if } \gamma > 0, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\Phi(\gamma, r_2) \leq \left(\frac{C(1 + |\gamma|)}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}\right)^{1/|\gamma|} \Phi\left(\frac{2^*(s)}{2}\gamma, r_1\right), \text{ if } \gamma < 0. \quad (4.30)$$

and when $2\alpha < \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s$,

$$\Phi\left(\frac{2^*(s_\alpha)}{2}\gamma, r_1\right) \leq \left(\frac{C(1 + |\gamma|)}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}\right)^{1/|\gamma|} \Phi(\gamma, r_2), \text{ if } \gamma > 0, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\Phi(\gamma, r_2) \leq \left(\frac{C(1 + |\gamma|)}{(r_2 - r_1)^2}\right)^{1/|\gamma|} \Phi\left(\frac{2^*(s_\alpha)}{2}\gamma, r_1\right), \text{ if } \gamma < 0, \quad (4.32)$$

Hence taking $p > 0$, we set $\gamma = \gamma_m = p(2^*(s)/2)^{m-1}$, and for $\varsigma \in (0, 1/4)$, set $r_m = \varsigma + (\frac{\varsigma}{4})^m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, so that by (4.29) or (4.31), $\Phi(\gamma_m, \varsigma) \leq C\Phi(p, 5\varsigma/4)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Consequently, letting m tend to infinity, we have

$$\sup_{B_\varsigma(0)} \overline{u} \leq C\Phi\left(p, \frac{5\varsigma}{4}\right). \quad (4.33)$$

In a similar manner, by (4.30) or (4.32), we can prove that for any $p > 0$,

$$\Phi\left(-p, \frac{5\varsigma}{4}\right) \leq C\Phi(-\infty, \varsigma) = C \inf_{B_\varsigma(0)} \bar{u}. \quad (4.34)$$

Let $S_\rho(x, r)$ be the ball $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \rho(x, y) < r\}$ with the metric ρ defined in [8, Theorem 2.7]. By Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.7 of [8], we deduce that when ς small enough, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$B_{5\varsigma/4}(0) \subset S_\rho(0, \delta) \subset B_{1/2}(0). \quad (4.35)$$

By (4.23), [8, Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3](see page 538 and 539) and (4.2f) of [8](see page 538), we deduce that there exist $p > 0$ and constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{S_\rho(0, \delta)} \bar{u}^p\right) \left(\int_{S_\rho(0, \delta)} \bar{u}^{-p}\right) \leq C.$$

Then by (4.35), we get that

$$\Phi(p, 5\varsigma/4) / \Phi(-p, 5\varsigma/4) \leq C. \quad (4.36)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow 0$, by (4.33) – (4.36), we get that $\sup_{B_\varsigma(0)} u \leq C \inf_{B_\varsigma(0)} u$. $\square$

Using the similar argument as the proofs of the above two theorems and Theorem 8.22 of [12], we can get the following theorem

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. If $u \in X_\alpha(B_1(0))$ is a weak solution of equation (1.1) in $B_1(0)$, then there exists $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ such that $u \in C^{0, \gamma}(\overline{B_\sigma(0)})$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$.*

Proposition 4.5. *If the same conditions as Theorem 4.4 holds, then $u \in C^{0, \gamma}(B_1(0)) \cap C^{2, \gamma}(B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\})$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in C^{0, \gamma}(B_1(0))$, $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 and Schauder estimates, we obtain that $u \in C^{2, \gamma}(B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\})$, since the operator $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u)$ is uniformly elliptic in compact subset of $B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}$. As the same proof of Theorem 8.8 in [12], we know that for $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in X_{\alpha, loc}(B_1(0))$ and it satisfies $-div\left(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)\right) = (2^*(s) - 1)|x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} u^{2^*(s) - 2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ in $B_1(0)$ weakly. Using the same method as the proof of Theorem 4.4, we can get $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in C^{0, \gamma}(B_1(0))$, $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\Omega = \{(x', x_N) \mid |x_N| \leq 2, |x'| \leq 1\}$ and $\Omega_1 = \{(x', x_N) \mid |x_N| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |x'| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. If $\alpha > 1/2$, $0 \leq s < 2$ and u is a weak solution of equation (1.1) in Ω , then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_N}\right| \leq C|x_N|^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega_1 \setminus \{x \mid x_N = 0\}.$$

Proof. For $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, let $\Omega_\epsilon = \{(x', x_N) \mid \frac{1}{4}\epsilon \leq x_N \leq 2\epsilon, |x'| \leq \epsilon\}$ and $\Omega^* = \{(y', y_N) \mid \frac{1}{4} \leq y_N \leq 2, |y'| \leq 1\}$. For $x \in \Omega_\epsilon$, set $u_\epsilon(y) = u(\epsilon y)$, $y = x/\epsilon$. By Theorem 4.2, u_ϵ is bounded in Ω^* . Straightforward calculation shows that u_ϵ satisfies $-div(|y_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u_\epsilon) = \epsilon^\beta |u_\epsilon|^{2^*(s) - 2} u_\epsilon$ in Ω^* , where $\beta = \alpha \cdot (2^*(s) - 2) + 2 - s \geq 0$. L^p -estimate gives that there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{C^{1, \gamma}(\Omega_2)} \leq C'(\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega^*)} + \epsilon^\beta \|u_\epsilon^{2^*(s) - 1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega^*)}) \leq C'(M + \epsilon^\beta M^{2^*(s) - 1}) := C,$$

where $\Omega_2 = \{(y', y_N) \mid \frac{1}{2} < y_N \leq 1, |y'| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. In particular, we have $\left|\epsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(0, \epsilon)\right| = \left|\frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(0, 1)\right| \leq C$. Thus

$$\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(0, x_N)\right| \leq C|x_N|^{-1}, \quad 0 < x_N \leq 1. \quad (4.37)$$

For fixed $|x'_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, consider $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x + (x'_0, 0))$. As (4.37), we have $\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(x'_0, x_N)\right| \leq C|x_N|^{-1}$. Therefore $\left|\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_N}\right| \leq C|x_N|^{-1}$, $\forall x \in \Omega_1 \setminus \{x \mid x_N = 0\}$. $\square$

5 Symmetry and uniqueness of solutions

In this section, we obtain some symmetry and uniqueness results for positive solutions of equations (1.1).

Given $\lambda > 0$ and a function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define $u_\lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda^{N-2+2\alpha}}{|x|^{N-2+2\alpha}} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. We shall use the method of moving sphere (see [4, 15, 16]) and its variant (see [24]) to prove the following Theorem

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. If $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1), then there exists a positive number λ such that $u(x) = u_\lambda(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, we know that u is Hölder continuous in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The proof is divided into four steps.

Step 1. In this step, we shall prove that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $u_\lambda(x) \geq u(x)$, $|x| \leq \lambda$ if $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$.

By Proposition 4.1, we know that u_λ satisfies equation (1.1) and $u_\lambda|_{\partial B_\lambda(0)} \equiv u|_{\partial B_\lambda(0)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla(u_\lambda - u)) &= |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} (u_\lambda^{2^*(s)-1} - u^{2^*(s)-1}) \\ &= (2^*(s) - 1) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \psi_\lambda^{2^*(s)-2} (u_\lambda - u), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\psi_\lambda(x)$ is some number between $u_\lambda(x)$ and $u(x)$. Let $\Omega_\lambda^- = \{x \in B_\lambda(0) \mid (u_\lambda - u)(x) \leq 0\}$. Set $M = \max_{B_1(0)} u$. By Theorem 4.2, we have $M < +\infty$. Multiplying equation (5.1) by $(u_\lambda - u)^-$ and integrating. By Hölder inequality and Theorem 2.1, we get that if $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(u_\lambda - u)|^2 \\ &= (2^*(s) - 1) \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \psi_\lambda^{2^*(s)-2} (u_\lambda - u)^2 \\ &\leq (2^*(s) - 1) \left(\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \psi_\lambda^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)-2}{2^*(s)}} \left(\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} (u_\lambda - u)^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq (2^*(s) - 1) M^{2^*(s)-2} \left(\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)-2}{2^*(s)}} \left(\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} (u_\lambda - u)^{2^*(s)} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \\ &\leq C M^{2^*(s)-2} \left(\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)-2}{2^*(s)}} \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(u_\lambda - u)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} = 0$, by (5.2), we deduce that $\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(u_\lambda - u)|^2 = 0$ if $\lambda > 0$ small enough. It follows that if λ small enough, then for any $x \in B_\lambda(0)$, $u_\lambda(x) \geq u(x)$.

Step 2. Set $\bar{\lambda} = \sup\{\mu > 0 \mid u_\lambda(x) \geq u(x), |x| \leq \lambda, 0 < \lambda < \mu\}$. We shall prove that if $\bar{\lambda} < \infty$, then $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

Obviously, it is sufficient to prove that $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv u$ in $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)$. From the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$, we know that $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \geq u$ in $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)$. If $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \not\equiv u$ in $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)$, by

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla(u_{\bar{\lambda}} - u)) = (2^*(s) - 1) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \psi_{\bar{\lambda}}^{2^*(s)-2} (u_{\bar{\lambda}} - u) \geq 0,$$

and Proposition 3.2, we get that

$$(u_{\bar{\lambda}} - u)(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0). \quad (5.3)$$

It follows that for $\delta > 0$ small enough,

$$\max_{x \in \partial B_{\bar{\lambda}-\delta}(0)} (u_{\bar{\lambda}} - u)(x) > 0. \quad (5.4)$$

By (5.4), we can choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta) > 0$ small enough, such that $\epsilon = o(\delta)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\max_{x \in \partial B_{\lambda-\delta}(0)} (u_\lambda - u)(x) > 0, \text{ if } \bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon. \quad (5.5)$$

Set $\Omega_\lambda^- = \{x \mid \lambda - \delta \leq |x| \leq \lambda, u_\lambda(x) - u(x) \leq 0\}$. By (5.5) and the fact that $(u_\lambda - u)|_{\partial B_\lambda(0)} \equiv 0$, we get that $(u_\lambda - u)^-|_{\partial \Omega_\lambda^-} \equiv 0$. Then as (5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(u_\lambda - u)|^2 \\ &= (2^*(s) - 1) \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} \psi_\lambda^{2^*(s) - 2} (u_\lambda - u)^2 \\ &\leq CM^{2^*(s) - 2} \left(\int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} \right)^{\frac{2^*(s) - 2}{2^*(s)}} \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla(u_\lambda - u)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

By

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_\lambda^-} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} = 0$$

and (5.6), we know that if δ small enough, Lebesgue measure of Ω_λ^- must be zero. Thus when $\bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon$,

$$u_\lambda(x) - u(x) \geq 0, \lambda - \delta \leq |x| \leq \lambda. \quad (5.7)$$

By (5.3), we deduce that there exists $C(\delta) > 0$ such that $u_{\bar{\lambda}}(x) - u(x) \geq C(\delta) > 0$ if $|x| < \lambda - \delta$. Thus we can choose ϵ small enough, such that $u_\lambda(x) - u(x) > 0$ if $|x| < \lambda - \delta$ and $\bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon$. Combining (5.7), we obtain that $u_\lambda(x) - u(x) \geq 0$ if $|x| \leq \lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon$. It contradicts the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$. Thus $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

Step 3. For $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, let $u^{(b)}(x) = u(x + (b, 0))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $\bar{\lambda}_b$ be defined as in Step 2 relative to $u^{(b)}$. In this step, we shall prove that if $\bar{\lambda}_b = \infty$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, then $\bar{\lambda}_b = \infty$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

By Step 2, there is a maximal $\bar{\lambda}_b > 0$ such that $(u^{(b)})_\lambda(x) \geq u^{(b)}(x)$, if $|x| \leq \lambda$ and $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}_b$. It follows that $(u^{(b)})_\lambda(x) \leq u^{(b)}(x)$, if $|x| \geq \lambda$ and $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}_b$. Letting $x_b = x - (b, 0)$, we have $u(x) \geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x_b|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x_b}{|x_b|^2} + (b, 0)\right)$. Since $\bar{\lambda}_b = \infty$, we know that the above inequality holds for all $\lambda > 0$ and $|x_b| \geq \lambda$. For any fixed $\lambda > 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha} u(x) \geq \lim_{|x_b| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x_b|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x_b}{|x_b|^2} + (b, 0)\right) = \lambda^{N-2+2\alpha} u(b, 0).$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, this implies $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha} u(x) = \infty$. Assume that there is $a \neq b$ such that $\bar{\lambda}_a < \infty$. Then by Step 2, it is $u^{(a)} \equiv (u^{(a)})_{\bar{\lambda}_a}$, i.e., $u(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x_a|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x_a}{|x_a|^2} + (a, 0)\right)$, $x_a = x - (a, 0)$. This gives $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha} u(x) = \bar{\lambda}_a u(a, 0) < \infty$, which contradicts to $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha} u(x) = \infty$.

Step 4. In this step, we shall prove that $\bar{\lambda}_b < \infty$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

By contradiction. If not, then by Step 3, for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, $\bar{\lambda}_b = \infty$. Let

$$g_{\lambda, b}(x) = u(x + (b, 0)) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2} + (b, 0)\right)$$

for $|x| \leq \lambda$, $\lambda > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Then $g_{|x|, b}(rx) = u(rx + (b, 0)) - \frac{1}{r^{N-2+2\alpha}} u\left(\frac{x}{r} + (b, 0)\right)$. Since $g_{\lambda, b}(x) < 0$ if $|x| \leq \lambda$, we get that when $0 < r < 1$,

$$g_{|x|, b}(rx) < 0. \quad (5.8)$$

By Proposition 4.5, we deduce that for any $x \neq 0$, $\frac{d}{dr}(g_{|x|,b}(rx))|_{r=1}$ exists. By straightforward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dr}(g_{|x|,b}(rx)) \Big|_{r=1} \\ &= \left(x(\nabla u)(rx + (b, 0)) + \frac{x}{r^{N+2\alpha}}(\nabla u)\left(\frac{x}{r} + (b, 0)\right) + \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{r^{N-1+2\alpha}}u\left(\frac{x}{r} + (b, 0)\right) \right) \Big|_{r=1} \\ &= 2x\nabla u(x + (b, 0)) + (N-2+2\alpha)u(x + (b, 0)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

By (5.8) and the fact that $g_{|x|,b}(x) = 0$, we get that $\frac{d}{dr}(g_{|x|,b}(rx))|_{r=1} \geq 0$. Thus by (5.9), we have

$$2(x - (b, 0))\nabla u(x) + (N-2+2\alpha)u(x) \geq 0 \quad (5.10)$$

Divided both side by $|b|$ in (5.10) and let $|b|$ tend to ∞ , we get that $a\nabla u_{x'}(x) \leq 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ with $|a| = 1$. It follows that $\nabla u_{x'}(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Thus u is independent of x' , i.e., $u = u(x_N)$. By Theorem 4.2, we get that $u = u(x_N)$ is a positive solution of $-(x_N^{2\alpha}u')' = x_N^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s}u^{2^*(s)-1}$, $x_N > 0$ with $u(0) = \lim_{x_N \rightarrow 0} u(x_N) < \infty$. However, by Lemma 5.3, we know that this equation has no positive solution. Thus $\bar{\lambda}_b < \infty$ for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. $\square$

Remark 5.2. By this Theorem, we know that if $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1), then $u(x) = O(|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)})$. It follows that if $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1), then $u \in X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 5.3. If $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$, then the following equation

$$-(r^{2\alpha}f')' = r^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s}f^{2^*(s)-1}, \quad r > 0, \quad f(r) > 0, \quad f(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} f(r) < \infty \quad (5.11)$$

has no solution.

Proof. Equation (5.11) is equivalent to $-f''(r) - \frac{2\alpha}{r}f'(r) = r^\beta f^{2^*(s)-1}(r)$, $r > 0$, where $\beta = \alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s - 2\alpha$. For $\tau > 0$, making the change of variable $y = r^\tau/\tau$, $f(r) = u(y)$, this equation becomes

$$-u''(y) - \frac{\frac{2\alpha-1}{\tau} + 1}{y}u(y) = \tau^\sigma y^\sigma u^{2^*(s)-1}(y), \quad y > 0, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\beta-2(\tau-1)}{\tau}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[x]$ denote the largest one among the integers which do not exceed x . Let $\tau = (2\alpha - 1)/[2\alpha]$ and $k = \frac{2\alpha-1}{\tau} + 2 = [2\alpha] + 2$. Then equation (5.12) is equivalent to

$$-\Delta u(x) = \tau^\sigma |x|^\sigma u^{2^*(s)-1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad (5.13)$$

where $|x| = y$. It is easy to verify that $\sigma > -2$ and $\frac{k+2+2\sigma}{k-2} > 2^*(s) - 1$. Thus according to Proposition 5.2 in [27] by Serrin and Zou, radially symmetric positive solutions of (5.13), if any, satisfy $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{\frac{2+\sigma}{2^*(s)-2}}u(x) = \lambda$, for some positive constant λ . This contradicts the assumption $f(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} f(r) < \infty$. This lemma is established. $\square$

By Remark 5.2, Proposition 3.3 and the classical moving plane method (see [11]), we can get the following Theorem

Theorem 5.4. Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. If $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1), then there exists $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that u is axially symmetric about the axis $\{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x' = x'_0\}$, i.e., $u(x', x_N) = u(|x' - x'_0|, x_N)$. Moreover, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, x_N) < 0$ for $r = |x' - x'_0| > 0$.

Theorem 5.5. If $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nonnegative weak solution of $\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha}\nabla u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then $u \equiv a$ for some constant $a \geq 0$.

Proof. As the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can get that either $u \equiv u_{\bar{\lambda}}$ for some $0 < \bar{\lambda} < \infty$, or $\bar{\lambda}_b = \infty$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, where $\bar{\lambda}_b$ is defined in the Step 3 of proof Theorem 5.1. If $\bar{\lambda}_b = \infty$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then as step 4 of the proof of Theorem 5.1, we deduce that $u \equiv a$ for some constant $a \geq 0$. If $u \equiv u_{\bar{\lambda}}$ for some $0 < \bar{\lambda} < \infty$, then $u(x) = O(|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then by Proposition 3.1, we get that $0 \leq \sup_{B_R(0)} u \leq \sup_{\partial B_R(0)} u \rightarrow 0$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $u \equiv 0$. $\square$

Theorem 5.6. Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Let $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1) which satisfies

$$u(x) = |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} u(x/|x|^2) := \tilde{u}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then there is $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that $u(x', 0) = u(x'_0, 0)(1 + |x' - x'_0|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$, $\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

Proof. For a fixed $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, define $u^{(b)}(x) = u(x + (b, 0))$. By Theorem 5.1, there exists $\lambda_b > 0$ such that $u^{(b)} = (u^{(b)})_{\lambda_b}$, i.e., $u(x + (b, 0)) = \left(\frac{\lambda_b}{|x|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda_b^2 x}{|x|^2} + (b, 0)\right)$. Letting $x_b = x - (b, 0)$ for all x , this identity becomes

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda_b}{|x_b|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda_b^2 x_b}{|x_b|^2} + (b, 0)\right). \quad (5.14)$$

Multiplying the above identity by $|x|^{N-2+2\alpha}$ and letting $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, we find

$$\tilde{u}(0) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{N-2+2\alpha} u(x) = \lambda_b^{N-2+2\alpha} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{|x|}{|x_b|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda_b^2 x_b}{|x_b|^2} + (b, 0)\right) = \lambda_b^{N-2+2\alpha} u(b, 0),$$

and using $u(0) = \tilde{u}(0)$, we get

$$\lambda_b^{N-2+2\alpha} = \frac{u(0)}{u(b, 0)}. \quad (5.15)$$

From $u = \tilde{u}$ and (5.14), we have $\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2+2\alpha}} u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) = \left(\frac{\lambda_b}{|x_b|}\right)^{N-2+2\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda_b^2 x_b}{|x_b|^2} + (b, 0)\right)$. Let $f(x') = u(x', 0)$, by Proposition 4.5, we know that $f \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$. Now setting $x_N = 0$ in the last identity and using (5.15), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|x'|^{N-2+2\alpha}} f\left(\frac{x'}{|x'|^2}\right) = \left(\frac{u(0)}{u(b, 0)}\right) \frac{1}{|x' - b|^{N-2+2\alpha}} f\left(\frac{\lambda_b^2 (x' - b)}{|x' - b|^2} + b\right).$$

Then as the proof of Corollary 2.8 of [24], we can get that $f(b) = f(x'_0)(1 + |b - x'_0|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$ for some fixed $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. By the arbitrariness of b , we have $u(x', 0) = u(x'_0, 0)(1 + |x' - x'_0|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$, $\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.7. Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Let $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that $u(x', 0) = u(x'_0, 0)(1 + \lambda^2 |x' - x'_0|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$, $\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $u_\mu \equiv u$. Let $v(x) = \mu^{N-2+2\alpha} u(\mu^2 x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then v is a solution of equation (1.1) satisfying $v(x) = |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} v(x/|x|^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. By Theorem 5.6, we get that $v(x', 0) = v(a, 0)(1 + |x' - a|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$, $\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. By $v(x) = \mu^{N-2+2\alpha} u(\mu^2 x)$, we get that $u(x', 0) = u(x'_0, 0)(1 + \lambda^2 |x' - x'_0|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, with $\lambda = 1/\mu^2$ and $x'_0 = \mu^2 a$. $\square$

Theorem 5.8. Suppose that $\alpha > 1/2$ and $0 \leq s < 2$. Let $U_{\alpha,s}$ be a positive solution of equation (1.1). Then u is a positive solution of equation (1.1) if and only if $u(x', x_N) = \lambda^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(\lambda x' + x'_0, \lambda x_N)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.7, there exist $\eta > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that $U_{\alpha,s}(x', 0) = U_{\alpha,s}(a, 0)(1 + \eta^2 |x' - a|^2)^{-(N-2+2\alpha)/2}$. From the proof of Proposition 4.1, we know that $x_N^\alpha U_{\alpha,s}(x)$ and $x_N^\alpha u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ are solutions of equation (4.1). From Proposition 5.13 of [6], we deduce that $W_{\alpha,s}(x) = x_N^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(x)$ and $v(x) = x_N^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ are solutions of equation (1.5) with $K \equiv 1$. By Remark 5.2, we know that $U_{\alpha,s}$ and u lie in the space $X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then it is easy to verify that $W_{\alpha,s}$ and v lie in the space $H^1(\mathbb{H})$, where $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{dx^2}{x_N^2})$ is the N -dimensional hyperbolic space and $H^1(\mathbb{H})$ is the Hilbert

space $\overline{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{H})}^{||\cdot||}$ with norm $||u|| = (\int_{\mathbb{H}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{H}} u|^2 dV_{\mathbb{H}})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} x_N^{2-N} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. From [19], up to an isometric transform of $\mathbb{H}$, the positive solution of equation (1.5) which lies in $H^1(\mathbb{H})$ is unique. And from page 116 of [25], we know that the isometric transforms of $\mathbb{H}$ are those Möbius transforms of $\mathbb{R}^N$ which leave $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ invariant. Thus there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that $v(x) = W_{\alpha,s}(\lambda x' + x'_0, \lambda x_N)$ for any $x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$. It follows that $u(x', 0) = \lambda^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(\lambda x' + x'_0, 0)$, $\forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Let $\overline{W}_{\alpha,s}(x) = (-x_N)^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(x)$ and $\overline{v}(x) = (-x_N)^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_-^N$. Using the same argument, we deduce that there exist $\mu > 0$ and $\overline{x}'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that $\overline{v}(x) = \overline{W}_{\alpha,s}(\mu x' + \overline{x}'_0, \mu x_N)$ for any $x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}_-^N$. In particular, we have $u(x', 0) = \mu^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(\mu x' + \overline{x}'_0, 0)$. Thus $\lambda^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(\lambda x' + x'_0, 0) = \mu^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(\mu x' + \overline{x}'_0, 0)$ for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. We obtain $x'_0 = \overline{x}'_0$ and $\lambda = \mu$. Thus $u(x', x_N) = \lambda^{\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2}} U_{\alpha,s}(\lambda x' + x'_0, \lambda x_N)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. $\square$

Theorem 5.9. *If $u \in X_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$ and $s = 1 + 2/N$, i.e., u is a positive solution of equation*

$$- \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^2 \nabla u) = |x_N| u^{\frac{N+2}{N}}, \quad (5.16)$$

then there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ such that $u(x', x_N) = \lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} U(\lambda x' + \zeta, \lambda x_N)$, where $U(x', x_N) = \left(\frac{2N}{(1+|x_N|)^2 + |x'|^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$. Furthermore, taking derivatives with respect to the parameters λ and ζ at $\lambda = 1$ and $\zeta = 0$, we get N functions $V_1, \dots, V_N$. These functions are solutions to the linearized equation

$$- \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^2 \nabla v) = \frac{N+2}{N} |x_N| U^{\frac{2}{N}} v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad v \in X_1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (5.17)$$

and any solution of (5.17) can be the linear combination of $V_1, \dots, V_N$.

Proof. If u is a positive solution of equation (5.16), then by Remark 5.2, we know that $u \in X_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $v = x_N u \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ (see (2.5)) and it is a positive solution of equation $-\Delta v = \frac{v^{(N+2)/N}}{x_1^{1+2/N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^N$

(see (4.1)). From Proposition 5.13 of [6], we know that $v_1(x) = x_N^{\frac{N-2}{2}} v(x) = x_N^{\frac{N}{2}} u$ is a solution of the equation $-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} v_1 = \frac{N(N-2)}{4} v_1 + v_1^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}$ which satisfies $v_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{H})$, where $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{R}_+^N, \frac{dx^2}{x_N^2})$ is the N -dimensional hyperbolic space and $H^1(\mathbb{H})$ is the Sobolev space defined in the proof of Theorem 5.8. Let $\mathbb{R}^{N+2} = \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $z = (x, y)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. By [5, Lemma 2.1], we know that $u_1(x, y) = |y|^{-\frac{N}{2}} v_1(x, |y|) = u(x, |y|)$ is a solution of equation $-\Delta u_1 = \frac{u_1^{\frac{N+2}{N}}}{|y|}$ with $u_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N+2})$. By [20, Theorem 1.1], Up to dilations and translations in x , this equation has unique solution $U_1(x, y) = \left(\frac{2N}{(1+|y|)^2 + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$. Therefore, up to dilations and translations in x' , equation (5.16) has a unique positive

solution $U(x', x_N) = \left(\frac{2N}{(1+|x_N|)^2 + |x'|^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$. By [5, Theorem 3.1], taking derivatives with respect to the parameters λ and ζ at $\lambda = 1$ and $\zeta = 0$ to $\lambda^{(N-2)/2} U_1(\lambda x + \zeta, \lambda y)$, we get N functions. These functions are solutions to the linearized equation at U_1

$$- \Delta v = \frac{N+2}{N} \frac{U_1^{\frac{2}{N}}}{|y|} v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N+2}, \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N+2}) \quad (5.18)$$

and any solution of (5.18) can be the linear combination of the N functions. Thus taking derivatives with respect to the parameters λ and ζ at $\lambda = 1$ and $\zeta = 0$ to $\lambda^{N/2} U(\lambda x' + \zeta, \lambda x_N)$, we get N functions $V_1, \dots, V_N$. These functions are solutions to the linearized equation (5.17) and any solution of (5.17) can be the linear combination of $V_1, \dots, V_N$. $\square$

6 Some variational identities

In this section, we derive some variational identities for solutions of equation (1.2). As a consequence, some nonexistence results for solutions of equation (1.2) are obtained.

Theorem 6.1. If $K \in C^1(\overline{B_\varsigma(0)})$ and $u \in X_{\alpha,loc}(B_\varsigma(0))$ is a weak solution of equation (1.2) in $B_\varsigma(0)$, then for any $0 < \sigma < \varsigma$, the following identity holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{B_\sigma(0)} (x \cdot \nabla K) \cdot |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \\ &= \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where $B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) = \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \cdot u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} - \frac{\sigma}{2} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 + \sigma |x_N|^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ is the outer normal vector of $\partial B_\sigma(0)$, i.e., $\mathbf{n} = x/|x|$, $n_i = x_i/|x|$, $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Proof. For $0 < \epsilon < \sigma$, let $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+ = B_\sigma(0) \cap \{x_N > \epsilon\}$. Multiplying left hand side of equation (1.2) by $x \cdot \nabla u$ and integrating in $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+$, we obtain by divergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u)(x \cdot \nabla u) \\ &= - \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n})(x \cdot \nabla u) + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(x \cdot \nabla u), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ is the outer normal vector of $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+$. We have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(x \cdot \nabla u) = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \quad (6.3)$$

Through integrating by part, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (n_j \cdot x_j) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(|x_N|^{2\alpha} x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \\ & \quad - N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 - 2\alpha \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{N+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (6.4)$$

By (6.2) – (6.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u)(x \cdot \nabla u) &= - \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n})(x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Multiplying right hand side of equation (1.2) by $x \cdot \nabla u$ and integrating in $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)-2} u \cdot (x \cdot \nabla u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (|u|^{2^*(s)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot |u|^{2^*(s)} - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |u|^{2^*(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} x_i) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \cdot (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot |u|^{2^*(s)} - \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} (x \cdot \nabla K(x)) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)}. \tag{6.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.5), (6.6) and the fact that $-\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u)(x \cdot \nabla u) = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)-2} u \cdot (x \cdot \nabla u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n})(x \cdot \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \cdot (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot |u|^{2^*(s)} \\
&\quad - \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} (x \cdot \nabla K(x)) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)}. \tag{6.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u)u = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)}$ and

$$-\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u)u = -\int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2,$$

we have

$$-\int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)}. \tag{6.8}$$

By (6.7) and (6.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} (x \cdot \nabla K(x)) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \cdot (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot |u|^{2^*(s)} \\
&= \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n})(x \cdot \nabla u). \tag{6.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^- = B_\sigma(0) \cap \{x_N < -\epsilon\}$, $0 < \epsilon < \sigma$. We can get a similar identity like (6.9) with $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+$ replaced by $\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^-$. Adding these two identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+ \cup \Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^-} (x \cdot \nabla K(x)) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+ \cup \partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^-} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} \cdot (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot |u|^{2^*(s)} \\
&= \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+ \cup \partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+ \cup \partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^+ \cup \partial\Omega_{\epsilon,\sigma}^-} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n})(x \cdot \nabla u), \tag{6.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ is the outer normal vector of $\Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^+ \cup \Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^-$. Since $\partial\Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^+ \cup \partial\Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^- = (\{|x_N| > \epsilon\} \cap \partial B_\sigma(0)) \cup (\{|x_N| = \epsilon\} \cap B_\sigma(0))$, we get that $\partial\Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^+ \cup \partial\Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^- \rightarrow \partial B_\sigma(0) \cup (\{|x_N| = 0\} \cap B_\sigma(0))$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, $\Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^+ \cup \Omega_{\epsilon, \sigma}^- \rightarrow B_\sigma(0)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. By Proposition 4.6, we get that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_{\{|x_N|=\pm\epsilon\} \cap B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot u = \mp \int_{\{|x_N|=\pm\epsilon\} \cap B_\sigma(0)} \epsilon^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(x', \epsilon) \cdot u \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.11)$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6, we deduce that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\{|x_N|=\pm\epsilon\} \cap B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\mathbf{n} \cdot x) \cdot |\nabla u|^2 \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{\{|x_N|=\pm\epsilon\} \cap B_\sigma(0)} \epsilon^{2\alpha+1} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(x', \epsilon) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x'}(x', \epsilon) \right|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

In a similar manner, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{|x_N|=\pm\epsilon\} \cap B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n})(x \cdot \nabla u) = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (6.10), by (6.11) – (6.13), we obtain the desired result of this theorem. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. Suppose $K, \widehat{K} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\widehat{K}(x) = K(x/|x|)$. If $u \in X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution of equation (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla K) \cdot |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s)-s} |u|^{2^*(s)}(x) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{u}(y) = \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla_y \widetilde{u}|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \nabla_y \left(\frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \right) \right|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} \frac{y}{|y|} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) + \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} \nabla_y \left(u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \right) \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} \frac{y}{|y|} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \right|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} \nabla_y \left(u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \right) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Using the transform $y = x/|x|^2$ (the Jacobian of this transform is $|x|^{-2N}$), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} \frac{y}{|y|} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \right|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{|y|^{2N-2+4\alpha}} u^2\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |x|^{-2} u^2(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get that $v(x) := |x_N|^\alpha u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies that $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then by the Hardy inequality, we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^2}{|x|^2} < \infty$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |x|^{-2} u^2(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^2}{|x|^2} < \infty. \quad (6.16)$$

By (6.15) and (6.16), we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} \frac{y}{|y|} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \right|^2 < \infty. \quad (6.17)$$

Note that

$$\nabla_y \left(u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right) = (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \cdot A(y), \quad (6.18)$$

where $A(y) = \left(\frac{\delta_{i,j}}{|y|^2} - \frac{2y_i y_j}{|y|^4} \right)_{N \times N}$ which satisfies that

$$A(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} = -\frac{y}{|y|^3}, \quad A(y)A(y)^T = \frac{1}{|y|^4} I. \quad (6.19)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla_y \left(u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right) \right|^2 &= (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \cdot A(y) A(y)^T (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right)^T \\ &= \frac{1}{|y|^4} \left| (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

By (6.20) and using the transform $y = x/|x|^2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} \nabla_y \left(u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right) \right|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{2N+4\alpha}} \left| (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla_x u|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

By (6.14), (6.17) and (6.21), we get that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla_y \tilde{u}|^2 < \infty$. It follows that $\tilde{u} \in X_\alpha$. Thus by Theorem 4.2, we get that $\tilde{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Since

$$\nabla_y \tilde{u}(y) = -\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} \frac{y}{|y|} u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) + \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} \nabla_y \left(u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right), \quad (6.22)$$

by (6.20), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla_y \tilde{u}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{2N+4\alpha}} \left| (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right|^2 - \sigma(N-2+2\alpha)^2 \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{2N-2+4\alpha}} u^2 \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{2\sigma^{2N+4\alpha}} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right|^2 - \sigma(N-2+2\alpha)^2 \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^2} \tilde{u}^2(y). \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

Using the transform $y = x/|x|^2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{2N+4\alpha}} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \left| (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right|^2 &= \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{2N+4\alpha}} \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} \frac{|x_N|^{2\alpha}}{|x|^{4\alpha}} |(\nabla_x u)(x)|^2 \cdot \sigma^{2(N-1)} \\ &= \sigma^{-1} \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |(\nabla_x u)(x)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Since $\tilde{u} \in X_\alpha$, as (6.16), we can get that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla_y \tilde{u}|^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma(N-2+2\alpha)^2 \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^2} \tilde{u}^2(y) = 0. \quad (6.25)$$

Then by (6.23) – (6.25), we get that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{-1} \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |(\nabla_x u)(x)|^2 = 0. \quad (6.26)$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{-1} \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right)^2 = 0. \quad (6.27)$$

By (6.22), (6.18) and (6.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y \tilde{u} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} &= -\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) + \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2+2\alpha}} (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \cdot A(y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \\ &= -\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{|y|^{N-1+2\alpha}} u \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) - \frac{1}{|y|^{N+2\alpha}} (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \\ &= -(N-2+2\alpha) \frac{\tilde{u}(y)}{|y|} - \frac{1}{|y|^{N+2\alpha}} (\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \tilde{u} \nabla_y \tilde{u} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \\ &= -(N-2+2\alpha) \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|} \tilde{u}^2(y) - \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{2N-2+4\alpha}} u \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \left((\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

Using the transform $y = x/|x|^2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{2N-4+4\alpha}} u \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \left((\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^{2N-2+4\alpha}} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} u \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \left((\nabla_x u) \left(\frac{y}{|y|} \right) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^{2N-2+4\alpha}} \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} \frac{|x_N|^{2\alpha}}{|x|^{4\alpha}} u(x) \left((\nabla_x u)(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \sigma^{2(N-1)} \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} u(x) \left((\nabla_x u)(x) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \cdot u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

As above, we deduce that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |y_N|^{2\alpha} \tilde{u} \nabla_y \tilde{u} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} = 0, \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} (N-2+2\alpha) \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} \frac{|y_N|^{2\alpha}}{|y|} \tilde{u}^2(y) = 0.$$

Then by (6.28) and (6.29), we get that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_{1/\sigma}(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \cdot u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0. \quad (6.30)$$

By (6.26), (6.27) and (6.30), we get that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_\rho} B(\rho, x, u, \nabla u) = 0. \quad (6.31)$$

By the fact that $\tilde{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deduce that u satisfies $|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}$ decay at infinity. Thus,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_\rho(0)} (x \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} = 0.$$

Then by (6.31) and (6.1), we obtain the desired result of this Lemma. $\square$

Remark 6.3. Suppose $K, \hat{K} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $x \nabla K(x) \geq 0$ or $x \nabla K(x) \leq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $x \nabla K(x) \not\equiv 0$, then equation (1.2) does not have solution lying in $X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Furthermore, by Remark 5.2 and this corollary, we know that if $x \nabla K(x) \geq 0$ or $x \nabla K(x) \leq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $x \nabla K(x) \not\equiv 0$, then equation (1.2) does not have positive solution in $X_{\alpha, \text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 6.4. Suppose that $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $u \in X_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution of equation (1.2), then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} \cdot |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N-1.$$

Proof. Let φ_R be a cut-off function which satisfies that $\varphi_R \equiv 1$ in $B_R(0)$, $\varphi_R \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{R+1}(0)$ and $|\nabla \varphi_R(x)| \leq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, multiplying the equation (1.2) by $\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ and integrating in $\{x_N > \epsilon\}$, we obtain

$$- \int_{x_N > \epsilon} \text{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = \int_{x_N > \epsilon} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s) - 2} u \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right). \quad (6.32)$$

Through integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{x_N > \epsilon} \text{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \int_{x_N = \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} + \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \int_{x_N = \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} + \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & \quad + \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_R. \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_N > \epsilon} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad (\text{though integral by parts}) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_N > \epsilon} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \cdot |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_N > \epsilon} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 \cdot |x_N|^{2\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i} \\ &= - \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) - \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i}. \quad (6.34)$$

By (6.33) and (6.34), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{x_N > \epsilon} \text{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \int_{x_N = \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i} + \int_{x_N > \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_R. \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

In a similar manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{x_N < -\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= - \int_{x_N = -\epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_N < -\epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i} \\
& \quad + \int_{x_N < -\epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_R.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

Adding (6.35) and (6.36) and using the fact that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_N = \pm \epsilon} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} = 0$ (by Proposition 4.5 and 4.6), we get that (letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$),

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_R.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

By (6.37), we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla u) \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0. \tag{6.38}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s) - 2} u \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} \varphi_R \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (|u|^{2^*(s)}) \\
&= - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*(s)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} \varphi_R) \\
&= - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \varphi_R - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_R}{\partial x_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in the above identity, we obtain

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s) - 1} \cdot \left(\varphi_R \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)}. \tag{6.39}$$

By (6.38), (6.39) and (6.32), we get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} |x_N|^{\alpha \cdot 2^*(s) - s} |u|^{2^*(s)} = 0$. $\square$

The following kind of result will be used in the blow-up analysis of equation (1.2). Similar results have been used in [14].

Proposition 6.5. (i). For $u = |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}$, $B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial B_\sigma(0)$;

(ii). For $u(x) = |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)} + A + \xi(x)$, with $A > 0$ and $\xi(0) = 0$, $-\operatorname{div}(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \xi) = 0$ weakly in $B_1(0)$, there exists $\bar{\sigma}$ such that

$$B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) < 0 \text{ for all } x \in \partial B_\sigma(0) \text{ and } 0 < \sigma < \bar{\sigma}$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) = - \frac{1}{2} A(N-2+2\alpha)^2 \int_{\partial B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha}.$$

Proof. (i). By straightforward calculation, we have $\nabla u = -(N-2+2\alpha)|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-2}x$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla u = \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u = -(N-2+2\alpha)|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1}$. It follows that $|\nabla u|^2|_{|x|=\sigma} = (N-$

$2 + 2\alpha)^2 \sigma^{-2(N-2+2\alpha)-2}$, $u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}|_{|x|=\sigma} = -(N-2+2\alpha)\sigma^{-2(N-2+2\alpha)-1}$ and $(\partial u / \partial \mathbf{n})^2|_{|x|=\sigma} = (N-2+2\alpha)^2 \sigma^{-2(N-2+2\alpha)-2}$. Thus $B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial B_\sigma(0)$.

(ii). From the assumptions ξ holds and the regularity result in section 4 (see Proposition 4.5), we know that ξ and $\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq N-1$) are local Hölder continuous in $B_1(0)$. And ξ is C^2 continuous in $B_1(0) \setminus \{x_N = 0\}$. Straightforward calculation shows that $\nabla u(x) = -(N-2+2\alpha)|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-2}x + \nabla \xi(x)$ and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u = -(N-2+2\alpha)|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} + \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla \xi(x).$$

Then by straightforward calculation and using the result (i) of this proposition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) \Big|_{|x|=\sigma} \\ &= B(\sigma, x, |x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)}, \nabla(|x|^{-(N-2+2\alpha)})) \Big|_{|x|=\sigma} + B(\sigma, x, \xi, \nabla \xi) \Big|_{|x|=\sigma} \\ & \quad - \frac{(N-2+2\alpha)^2}{2} A \sigma^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} |x_N|^{2\alpha} + R_\sigma \\ &= B(\sigma, x, \xi, \nabla \xi) \Big|_{|x|=\sigma} - \frac{(N-2+2\alpha)^2}{2} A \sigma^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} |x_N|^{2\alpha} + R_\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

where R_σ equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_N|^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} A \sigma^{-1} (x \cdot \nabla \xi(x)) - \frac{(N-2+2\alpha)^2}{2} \sigma^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} \xi(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{N-2+2\alpha}{2} \sigma^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} \cdot (x \cdot \nabla \xi(x)) \right) \Big|_{|x|=\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

By the regularity results of ξ and the condition $\xi(0) = 0$, we deduce that

$$B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) \Big|_{|x|=\sigma} < 0 \text{ if } \sigma \text{ small enough.} \quad (6.41)$$

Multiplying equation $-div(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \xi) = 0$ by 1 and integrating in $B_\sigma(0)$, we have

$$0 = - \int_{B_\sigma(0)} div(|x_N|^{2\alpha} \nabla \xi) \cdot 1 = - \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = -\sigma^{-1} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} (x \cdot \nabla \xi). \quad (6.42)$$

Moreover, by $\xi(0) = 0$, we can get that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \xi(x) = 0. \quad (6.43)$$

By (6.42) and (6.43), we get that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} R_\sigma = 0. \quad (6.44)$$

Let $K \equiv 0$ in Theorem 6.1, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} B(\sigma, x, \xi, \nabla \xi) = 0. \quad (6.45)$$

Thus by (6.44) and (6.45), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} B(\sigma, x, u, \nabla u) &= -\frac{1}{2} A (N-2+2\alpha)^2 \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{-(N-2+2\alpha)-1} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} A (N-2+2\alpha)^2 \int_{\partial B_1(0)} |x_N|^{2\alpha} < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

The result of this Proposition follows from (6.41) and (6.46). $\square$

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10901112).

References

- [1] W. Backner, On the Grushin operator and hyperbolic symmetry, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129** (2001), 1233–1246.
- [2] M. Badiale, G. Tarantello, A Sobolev-Hardy inequality with applications to a nonlinear elliptic equation arising in astrophysics, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **163** (2002), 259–293.
- [3] A. Bonfiglioli, F. Uguzzoni, Nonlinear Liouville theorems for some critical problems on H-type groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **207** (2004), 161–215.
- [4] D. M. Cao, Y. Y. Li, Results on positive solutions of elliptic equations with a critical Hardy-Sobolev operator, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, **15** (2008), 81–96.
- [5] D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini, K. Sandeep, Hardy-Sobolev inequalities, hyperbolic symmetry and scalar curvature problem, *J. Diff. Eqs.*, **246** (2009), 1187–1206.
- [6] S. Chen, S. Li, Hardy-Sobolev inequalities in half-space and some semilinear elliptic equations with singular coefficients, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **66** (2007), 324–348.
- [7] B. Franchi, C. E. Gutiérrez, R. L. Wheeden, Weighted Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities for Grushin type operators, *Comm. in Partial Differential Equations*, **19** (1994), 523–604.
- [8] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli, Hölder regularity theorem for a class of linear nonuniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CL. Sci.*, **10** (1983), 523–541.
- [9] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli, An embedding theorem for Sobolev spaces related to non-smooth vector fields and Harnack inequality, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **9** (1984), 1237–1264.
- [10] N. Garofalo, D. Vassilev, Symmetry properties of positive entire solutions of Yamabe-type equations on group of Heisenberg type, *Duke Math. J.*, **106** (2001), 411–448.
- [11] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **68** (1979), 209–243.
- [12] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [13] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [14] Y. Y. Li, Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related problems, Part I, *J. Diff. Eqs.*, **120** (1995), 319–410.
- [15] Y. Y. Li, L. Zhang, Liouville type theorems and Harnack type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Anal. Math.*, **90** (2003), 27–87.
- [16] Y. Y. Li, M. J. Zhu, Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres, *Duke Math. J.*, **80** (1995), 383–417.
- [17] D. Jerison, J. M. Lee, Extremals for Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group and the CR Yamabe problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1988), 1–13.
- [18] G. Mancini, K. Sandeep, Cylindrical symmetry of extremals of a Hardy-Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.*, **183** (2004), 165–172.
- [19] G. Mancini, K. Sandeep, On a semilinear elliptic equation in $\mathbb{H}^n$, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CL. Sci.*, **VII** (2008), 635–671.
- [20] G. Mancini, I. Fabbri, K. Sandeep, Classification of solutions of a critical Hardy-Sobolev operator, *J. Diff. Eqs.*, **224** (2006), 258–276.

- [21] A. Malchiodi, F. Uguzzoni, A perturbation result for the Webster scalar curvature problem on the CR sphere, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **81** (2002), 983–997.
- [22] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [23] R. Monti, Sobolev inequalities for weighted gradients, *Comm. in Partial Differential Equations*, **31** (2006), 1479–1504.
- [24] R. Monti, D. Morbidelli, Kelvin transform for Grushin operators and critical semilinear equations, *Duke Math. J.*, **131** (2006), 167–202.
- [25] J. G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, Second Edition, Graduate Text in Math. 149, Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [26] S. Secchi, D. Smets, M. Willem, Remarks on a Hardy- Sobolev inequality, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **336** (2003), 811–815.
- [27] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Classification of positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, **3** (1994), 1–26.
- [28] A. Tertikas, K. Tintarev, On existence minimizers for the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.*, **186** (2007), 645–662.
- [29] L. Véron, *Singularities of Solutions of Second Order Quasilinear Equations*, Pitman research notes in math. **353**, 1996.